Financial Operations (FO) Gap Analysis

FO Gap Number 1: Funds Receivable System

| "As-Is" | "To-Be" | Initial Implementation Points |
|---|---|---|
| | | (Final Gaps by February 28, 2004) |
| Performance bonds and bid deposits | • Receipt of performance bonds and bid | Outsource handling of performance |
| are tracked manually | deposits are outsourced | bonds and bid deposits |
| Payments received are only tracked when received at agency office | Funds received are tracked from the point of the entry of the commitment into a funds receivable system | Create Funds Receivable System |
| Payors remit funds to agency office | Payors will submit funds to lockbox | Establish lockbox operation |
| Funds are not always deposited | • Funds will be automatically deposited | Develop and implement consistent |
| promptly | as soon as they are received in the | procedures for handling funds (see |
| | lockbox | universal support functions, policies and procedures) |
| • Tracking of trust funds to be received | Distribution is made upon receipt of | Create funds receivable aging and |
| is done manually | funds based on certified ownership | reporting |

December 30, 2003

| "As-Is" | "To-Be" | Initial Implementation Points (Final Gaps by February 28, 2004) |
|---|---|---|
| Non-standardized lease compliance for nonpayment or underpayment of funds | Delinquency reports, late notices and/or subsequent cancellation notices will be generated automatically | Create automated 10 day show cause notices |
| Penalties and interest are manually calculated for underpayments or late payments | Penalties and interest will be system generated | Generate penalties and interest for late payment electronically |
| Some funds from other federal agencies are encoded and tracked manually | All funds from other federal agencies will be handled through Intra-Agency Payment And Collection System (IPACS) | Issue directive that all funds from other federal agencies are received through IPACS |
| Both Federal & Indian Royalty payment are commingled | Operators only submit Indian Royalty payments to OST lockbox for processing | Develop and implement procedures for handling royalty payments |

FO Gap Number 2: Investment Operations

| "As-Is" | "To-Be" | Initial Implementation Points |
|--|--|--|
| C 1 ' C TIM' | T | (Final Gaps by February 28, 2004) |
| Cash projections for IIM investments are not fully developed | Investment operation is a potential outsource candidate | Create automated cash and portfolio management system for IIM accounts |
| | Calculation of projected income and disbursement needs for IIM accounts is automated Provide updated training to users so that the automated comparison of cash management to investment opportunities is fully utilized Periodic review and quality control of investment operations is performed | Develop and provide proper training on system capability and use to fully utilize the automated cash & portfolio management system for tribal accounts |

FO Gap Number 3: Disbursements

| "As-Is" | "To-Be" | Initial Implementation Points (Final Gaps by February 28, 2004) |
|---|---|--|
| Untimely disbursement of performance bonds, bid deposits, etc. | Management of bid deposits and performance bonds is a potential outsource candidate | Consider outsourcing bid deposits and performance bonds management |
| Existing system not utilized to fullest capability Follow-up activities not standardized | Schedule of payments is complete five days prior to disbursement | Mitigate the risk of staff handling of performance bonds and bid deposits (see universal support functions, risk management) |
| Overly complex disbursement request process | System users are properly trained on system capability and follow-up activities | Develop capability to automate disbursement forms and work tickets with electronic signature capability |
| | Disbursement forms and work tickets with electronic signature are automated | Enhance system capability to identify prepayment date review for disbursement requests and subsequent follow-up |
| | | Develop and provide proper training on system capability and use |

FO Gap Number 4: Omnibus Reconciliation

FO Gap Number 5: Reporting and Statements

| "As-Is" | "To-Be" | Initial Implementation Points |
|---|---|---|
| | | (Final Gaps by February 28, 2004) |
| Beneficiary quarterly statements lack some information (e.g. resource assets, lease information, etc.) The statements lack | Beneficiary statements are comprehensive and detailed enough to allow the beneficiary to know or track asset income | Integrate ownership information and asset income into a single beneficiary periodic statement |
| Beneficiary periodic statements and Explanation of Payments (EOP's) are difficult to understand | Management reports include anticipated trust fund income and liability information | |
| | Beneficiary Statements are self explanatory Automated reports are generated to more effectively and efficiently meet the reporting deadlines | |
| | Beneficiary statements reflect ownership of investment units for IIM statements Current ownership information is part | |
| | | |